



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/976,199		10/11/2001	Robert C. Sundahl	42390P9821 1431		
8791	7590	11/03/2005		EXAMINER		
		OFF TAYLOR & : OULEVARD	LUU, MATTHEW			
SEVENTH F		OLLVIND	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGEI	LES, CA	90025-1030	3663			

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/976,199	SUNDAHL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LUU MATTHEW	3663	

	LUU MATTHEW	3663						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 13 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL:	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date					
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.					
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);						
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 	**	educing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		jected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			. (
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	nent canceling					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		rill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .		•						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-11 and 13-19</u> .		•						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut before or on the date of filing a N	value of Anneal will r	not be entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the consideration of the consideration	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	ched.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						
13. Other:	•							
•								

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Shen teaches "having a desired luminance, as a function of time" (Column 4, lines 61-63; column 5, lines 5-20; column 7, lines 50-64 and column 8, lines 41-54). The abstract also teaches, at lines 12-14, "The compensation system is best used after the display device has been calibrated to provide uniform light output". Based on this teaching, the "uniform light output" can be considered as the claimed "desired luminance". Shen further teaches "The voltage level Vn (In-1) is applied to circuitry 95 that calulates a value nN which is used to determine the current level needed to produce the "desired brightness" during the present time interval" (Column 7, lines 57-61). Therefore, the "desire brightness" can also be considered as the claimed "desired luminance".

MATTHEW LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER

nalla